# Collective Bargaining a base for transforming industry

In line with his views formed in the 70s and 80s around trade union independence, Sactwu general secretary **John Copelyn** argued that unions should establish their independent base in institutions of collective bargaining. They could then use this base to contribute to transforming society. Trade unions should avoid relying on political organisations or the state to do this.

tremendously over the last 15 years. Over one million workers have joined unions in Cosatu. These unions employ over one thousand full-time officials to carry out their work. A shop steward movement has been built which includes over ten thousand people.

All people in our country have felt the power of this movement. For decades the working class has been utterly suppressed under harsh apartheid laws. Now mass action of many kinds, including protest marches, stayaways, strikes and sit-ins, have become common activities. Now the working class is an organised force engaged in negotiations with the state over amendments to unacceptable laws, starting cooperative factories and worker colleges and sending scores of workers abroad to interact with the international worker movement. And yet, with every advance, there are new problems and new challenges the movement must face and overcome if it is to play a progressive role in the lives of its members and the lives of working people in general in our country. The central challenge facing the union movement at present is whether it is to be an independent movement or not

### WHAT IS UNION INDEPENDENCE? The fight for the right to independence

The fight for the right to independence is essentially a fight for the right to criticise

both employers and government freely on behalf of the members of our unions. Unions have, in general, been established with widespread independence from employers. The changes that South Africa is currently experiencing are unlikely to affect the union movement's independence from employers, so I will not discuss that issue in this article.

The key area that is worth analysing, however, is the relationship between unions and government Whether the government is prepared to tolerate union opposition to its programmes and policies is what makes the government a democratic one. What makes the union movement an independent one, however, is whether it is prepared to risk the anger of the government in the pursuit of its members' mandate. Until now there has been no doubt that we are prepared to risk angering the government. We do so everyday. In the past, when the government was more openly hostile to the union movement, our opposition meant banning, house arrests, detentions and even assassinations. Today, even though the government might find it more difficult to openly attack the union movement our attitude has not changed. We remain fiercely protective of our members' rights irrespective of whether this makes the government angry.

#### INDEPENDENCE AFTER APARTHEID?

The issue we must address is what will happen after apartheid is gone? Let us say

the people's government rules our country. Let us say the laws they pass are better and generally more progressive than the last government. Let us say this government was voted into power with significant support from organised labour. In such a situation will the union's struggle be at an end? Will we say that, now the people's government protects the workers' interests, unions should not make the tasks of that government more difficult by pressuring and threatening mass action?

Will we support the government in saying that the workers must recognise the broader goal and not pursue sectional interests? Will we explain to the members that no matter what happens they should not rock the boat because the government is doing its best? Or will we remain an independent force? We are not the first hopeful working class to deal with such problems. In the Soviet Union, for example, the dictatorship of the Tsars was overthrown and the revolutionary government came to power. Workers were so excited at this victory over the forces of oppression under which they had lived that they did not worry about their trade unions. The unions fell under the control of revolutionary party. It has taken workers in that country 73 years to recover from that mistake.

#### DANGERS OF THE ALLIANCE

Over the last year or two there have been a



number of developments in the trade union movement which have been extremely worrying to all people who are seriously committed to independence within the trade union movement.

In Cosatu a resolution has been adopted which declares it to be 'in alliance with the African National Congress and the SA Communist Party. There are huge pressures to use the union movement, and the large organisational base it represents, to recruit members for both these organisations. On a whole range of issues Cosatu has simply echoed policies of these organisations. Cosatu almost never contradicts major policy statements of the ANC, even when on paper there are substantial differences between the two organisations.

On the one hand these developments are hardly surprising. There is widespread agreement in the trade union movement that the unions ought to play a prominent role in the downfall of apartheid. There is broad acceptance that we are not by any means the only force against apartheid, and that our struggle for the end of minority rule should be linked to the work done by such other organisations. On the other hand, these

developments represent major dangers to the independence of the trade union movement in a post-apartheid society. It is possible, if we go on blindly with the present rhetoric, that the union movement will simply degenerate into being the labour wing of government. It is possible that union leadership will, in time, be regarded by union members as being responsible for all bad planning, poor laws and all social evils brought about by a postapartheid government because the union movement is so bound up in this 'alliance'. While I do not wish to dismiss these dangers. there are a number of developments in Cosatu and its affiliates, which indicate the union movement is unlikely to become a passive junior partner of an ANC-led government after apartheid. Three examples will suffice to demonstrate this.

## THE WORKER CHARTER AS PROOF OF THE RESURGENCE OF UNION INDEPENDENCE

The debates within the union movement about the content of the Workers' Charter show a developing consensus about union independence. While the SACP and the South African Congress of Trade Unions (Sactu) quickly produced Worker Charters after the ANC Constitutional Guidelines were produced, Cosatu did not follow this route. Instead, affiliates agreed that there should be a number of workshops to discuss the charter. From these a commission was established which has drawn many workers into discussing idea of a Workers' Charter, by mixing mass campaign work with a lot of study on worker rights in various other countries

Equally importantly, the commission has facilitated much debate between senior union leadership from different unions and created the opportunity for a really carefully considered formulation of worker rights in a post-apartheid society to emerge. While we are perhaps still several months away from a charter being drawn up in Cosatu, we are already at a point where the major unions are developing a consensus that an important part of this charter ought to be a contribution to the new constitution for the country.

## MINIMUM WAGE LAWS OR FREE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING?

In the discussions over minimum wage laws, too, a view is emerging which supports the independence of unions. Recently there was a

significant disagreement over the issue of whether the unions should pursue a demand for minimum wage legislation. A number of unions argued that in a post-apartheid society it should be possible to persuade a democratically elected government to pass a law that all workers should be paid above a minimum standard of living. There was further debate over the amount this should be. Those arguing for this view were concentrating their effort on a figure of R700 per month. The above argument can be attacked in different ways. One could question whether the effect of such a law would not be nullified very rapidly by a galloping inflation. However, debate in the union movement focused on the question of what effect such a law would have on the rights of organised workers to bargain for themselves. In the end, many unionists accepted that is it not desirable to have the state setting wage levels in industries where unions are engaged in collective bargaining.

#### **DUAL LEADERSHIP UNDER ATTACK**

The third example I would like to discuss is the issue of Cosatu leadership turning out to be at the same time the leadership of the ANC and the SACP.

Some union leaders have argued that there is no difficulty in serving two masters within the alliance. They argue that when they are representing the union they will put forward the mandate of the union. When they are representing the SACP or ANC, they will put forward the mandate of that organisation. Provided they always clarify which hat they are wearing, no confusion will arise. To other union leadership this is incorrect. They feel strongly that wearing two hats represents a fundamental surrender of the independence of the union. In all fairness it must be noted that several of the union leaders who are wearing two hats have indicated that they see themselves as being active only on the interim structures of these organisations. Whether they make themselves available for the permanent structures, which will develop next year for the ANC and the SACP, will depend on the outcome of the debate over dual leadership within the union movement.

# COLLECTIVE BARGAINING INSTITUTIONS - AN INDEPENDENT BASE FOR TRADE UNIONS

I have argued that the central challenge for unions is to maintain their independence. The

If the trade union movement is to maintain its independence, it is not enough to simply adopt an ideology of independence. We will also have to map out an alternative vision of a just society in which unions play an important role, which is fundamentally independent of the state.

reason for this is that unions will not vanish in a post-apartheid society. They will either function as the labour wing of a governing party or affect the workplace primarily through influencing that government on legislation affecting labour, or they will function as free and independent organisations primarily influencing the workplace through their collective bargaining and other independent activity. If the unions choose the former option (i.e. a labour wing of the government relying on legislation to affect the workplace) it will be the death knell for democracy in a post-apartheid society. When institutions of civil society become so tied up in the workings of government that they become an integral part of the state machinery there is less and less space for any criticism of the manner in which the state operates. If the trade union movement is to maintain its independence, it is not enough to simply adopt an ideology of independence. We will also have to map out an alternative vision of a just society in which unions play an important role, which is fundamentally independent of the state.

#### THE UNION PROGRAMME

Such independent institutions could develop out of the activities of Cosatu and its affiliates, and the practice of collective bargaining as it has developed over the past ten years, if we build them carefully. But we will only succeed if we compel employers to change their attitude to collective bargaining. I would like to discuss briefly the possibility of struggling for two basic institutions as the platform where unions can substantially influence the development of South Africa, if we have the will to do so.

#### NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL COUNCILS

The most powerful base, which unions have, is the platforms they use for collective bargaining. If these platforms remain, as they are now, bargaining will continue to be

limited to short-term changes in wages and working conditions. In general, the content of these negotiations will not be affected by a new post-apartheid environment. Accordingly, any major contribution the union movement might seek to make to society will not find expression through collective bargaining with employers. If employers persist in this view, and prevent unions from using collective bargaining to deal seriously with the social and economic concerns of their members, unions will have two choices. Either they will have to abandon any attempt to represent the social and economic concerns of their members, or they will have to focus their attempts on developing close ties with political parties, which will give them access to state power. This of course will take us back to square one. Unions will see that the only way forward is to tie themselves ever more closely to the state and to seek to influence society through political machinery.

There is, however, a far more workable alternative. We could adopt a system where there are national negotiations in each industry rather than the irrational and patchy arrangements we currently have. Enormously creative opportunities would then open for unions.

## NATIONAL NEGOTIATIONS WITH EMPLOYERS

Finally, I would like to focus on an area of trade union success. This is the area of the Saccola negotiations. These discussions started off badly and with widespread and well-documented allegations against Saccola of bad faith bargaining. Cosatu and Nactu combined a strategy of negotiations and mass pressure. A number of points of agreement have now been reached, and accusations of bad faith bargaining have not been made for some time. These positive results led to the first cancellation of a national stay-away by Cosatu, in September

1990. While there may be many things, which these negotiations have so far failed to produce, they have given unions a vision that this is the way to go in order to influence the government on laws affecting workers. This bargaining process could be expanded in future and develop further functions such as choosing the judges for the future Industrial Court, and approving future labour legislation.

#### CONCLUSION

I have focused in this article on the key challenges facing the trade union movement in a new South Africa: its relationship to political parties once its members have the vote. I have argued that unions need to maintain complete independence from political organisations and the government. In order to do this we need to build up institutions that will provide an independent base for trade unions to influence a new South Africa. Historically, socialists have been fixated on the idea that the state is the crucial institution for transforming the quality of life of workers and oppressed. This fixation resulted in the Eastern European model of socialism. A perspective, which gives a substantially greater role to the independent organisations of civil society - such as trade unions - can do two things. Firstly, it holds greater promise of a democratic transformation of society through organisations, which depend for their power on the constant involvement of their membership. Secondly, it helps build an organisation capable of exercising a strong check on the institutions of the state, and the exercise of state power.

Copelyn was general secretary of Sactwu and for a short time in the 1970s editor of the Bulletin. This is an edited version of an article, which appeared in SA Labour Bulletin 15(6), March 1991.

Why choose the PSA as *your* union?

Commitment, dedication and hard work has made the PSA a leading trade union in the Public Service. The PSA is one of the largest unions in South Africa and the largest non-politically aligned, fully representative union in the Public Service. As the largest Fedusa affiliate, the PSA has access to national and international labour organisations.

- The PSA supports democracy, non-discrimination, equal opportunities for all workers, fair labour practices, skills development for workers, sound labour relations and efficient service delivery.
- The PSA, an apolitical, non-racial union, proudly serves some 176 000 members in the Public Service, semi-state institutions and parastatals and guards their rights and interests in the workplace. The PSA is also the only union that caters for the needs of Public Service pensioners. With its huge membership base, the PSA is a force to be reckoned with in collective bargaining, thereby ensuring the best deals for members. The PSA values each individual member and offers a personal service which includes legal assistance and representation in conciliation, arbitration and disciplinary proceedings.

... because the PSA has no match when it comes to union business -ASSISTANCE, BENEFITS & SERVICE to members!

For more information, contact the PSA Head Office on (012) 303 6500 or visit our website www.psa.co.za

- On average, the PSA annually handles more than 230 000 telephone enquiries from members, assists them in almost 3 000 disciplinary, conciliation and arbitration proceedings, handles some 57 000 letters from members and travels close on 700 000 km in its service to members! What other union can say the same?
- The PSA offers its members a range of secured benefits such as free funeral assistance, the PSA Short-term Insurance Service with up to 65% discount, a wide range of other insurance and financial products, negotiated discount on new and used cars, home loans and holiday accommodation, an Aidscare product, a tax advisory service, and much more. As a caring union, the PSA assists members who experience severe financial difficulty owing to unforeseen circumstances.
- The PSA has a democratically elected, stable and experienced leadership, extensive member structures and some 5 000 trained shop stewards.

Add to this the PSA's twelve Provincial Offices staffed by competent, trained officials and ensuring easy access and excellent service as well as a sound financial base, and you have a recipe for success!

