What is Isr aeli/Palestine clash about? Part 2: The Nakba and birth of Israel

The previous *Labour Bulletin* began to chart the history of the Israeli/Palestinian conflict. In this second part **Chandra Kumar** tells of the birth of the Israeli state and how Israel drove Palestinians from their land and homes and has been bolstered by the West ever since.

The Z ionists were not a colonial power like France or Britain. They were permanent settlers with no home country. The early Z ionists did not colonise Palestine in the traditional way by exploiting cheap, indigenous labour as in South A frica Mostly, they wished to replace the indigenous population and to establish a Jewish state with a Jewish majority, with Jewish farms and industry employing Jewish labour.

The major Jewish trade union federation, the Histadrut, was founded in 1920 and it only allowed Palestinian Israeli citizens membership in 1960. It does not allow A rab workers from the occupied Palestinian territories to be members, although these workers are taxed if they work for I sraeli companies

The major form of Zionism until 1977 was Labour Zionism. Socialism was popular among Jewish workingclass people but they distorted socialist ideals I f workers exclude fellow workers from their unions on grounds of nationality, this only bolsters capitalists and weakens workers through disunity.

Wealthy people in Europe and the U nited States and the British government funded the H istadrut through the international Z ionist movement's Jewish A gency T hese funders were interested in building a capitalist Jewish state in the heart of Palestine and the Middle East

The H istadrut was more than a union. It was an umbrella organisation involved in banking, the army and education. Before 1948, the H istadrut boycotted A rab labour, land and produce, forcing Jewish-owned factories and orange groves to sack A rabs they employed, and destroying produce from A rab-owned farms T hey then bought this land from A rab owners

Today, the H istadrut still has the Z ionist goal of keeping a majority of Jewish citizens in I srael. It has always supported the I sraeli occupation of the West Bank and G aza, and it further supported attacks on G aza in 2009.

It is important for workers and their union federations to stop dealing with H istadrut in the Boycott D isinvestment and Sanctions campaign against I srael.

JEWISHHOVE WITH BRITISH HELP

In 1907, C haim Waizmann (later first president of Israel) helped organise the Palestine L and D evelopment C ompany to set up Jewish land development projects in Palestine. In 1917, he worked with British foreign secretary Arthur Balfour on the Balfour D eclaration, which called for a national home for Jewish people in Palestine.

In 1922, the League of N ations approved a British Mandate for Palestine. This placed the region under British control whilst Britain prepared the region for independence.

The Balfour D eclaration was signed just before the O ctober Revolution in Russia The Z ionists agreed with the British about the 'danger' of socialist revolution and that Britain needed to support a capitalist, anti-communist Jewish state in Palestine.

Britain also supported the Z ionists in Palestine to further the interests of the British Empire. A Jewish state could serve as a British protectorate in the Middle E ast to reduce F rench influence in the region, as well as block the rising tide of A rab anti-imperialism.

ARAB REVOLT, JEWISH IMMGRATION

U ntil the 1930s, a Jewish state was just a Z ionist dream, because there was little Jewish emigration to Palestine. But after H itler came to power many E uropean countries and the U S closed their borders to Jews fleeing N azi repression, so they went to Palestine in large numbers. The Z ionists encouraged this as it boosted Jewish numbers.



The Nakba of 1948, mass deportation and massacre of Palestinians.

The Z ionists continued buying Palestinian Iand with British support, and turned A rab peasants into Iandless Iabourers. N aturally the Palestinians resisted. In 1936, there was a general Palestinian strike, known as the A rab R evolt, to protest against Z ionist and British colonisation. Z ionist and British arms finally crushed the strike in 1939. This showed that'L abour Z ionism' had nothing to do with solidarity with workers

The revolt made the British worry about losing their foothold in the Arab world, which had vast reserves of oil and gas To avoid alienating the Arabs, the British produced a W hite Paper in 1939 which called for the setting up of an Arab state in Palestine within ten years, in which the Jews would have limited selfrule. It also called for a limit on Jewish immigration to 75 000 over the following five years.

N either the A rabs nor the Z ionists accepted the W hite Paper. The A rab League thought it gave too much power to the Jews. The Z ionists wanted their own state and rejected the policy of limiting immigration. T his led to violent Z ionist attacks on the British. The deadliest of these attacks occurred on 22 July 1946, when the conservative Z ionist underground movement, the Irgun, led by future I sraeli prime minister, Menachem Begin, bombed the K ing D avid H otel in Jerusalem, killing 91 people.

The Arab Revolt occurred when the Jewish population in Palestine was growing fast and Z ionist colonisation was getting more serious 0 ver the next five years, Jewish emigration to Palestine continued illegally and the British placed a blockade to stem further immigration. But in 1946, the U S pressured Britain into allowing 1 500 Jewish refugees into Palestine every month.

PALESTINE DIVIDED

A fter the war, Britain decided to give up its Palestine Mandate and it was left to the U nited N ations to decide Palestine's fate. In N ovember 1947, the UN passed Resolution 181, dividing Palestine into a Jewish state and an Arab state.

The Zionists got 56% of Mandated Palestine although Jews constituted only one-third of its population. Jerusalem was declared an 'international city' open equally to Muslims, Christians and Jews.

W hile Z ionist leaders publicly accepted the plan, they were not satisfied. Many of them hoped to expand Jewish territory in future.

Both the Arab states and the Arab population of Palestine rejected the partition plan. Although Palestinian Arabs outnumbered Jews by almost three to one, and owned about 94% of the land, the plan gave more of Palestine to the 'Jewish state'. A large part of Arab-owned land was inside the new Jewish state's borders. It was obvious that the Z ionists would steal this land.

BIRTH OF ISRAEL AND AL NAKBA

Fighting between the Z ionists and Palestinians increased, with killings on both sides. From 1947 to 1949, 750 000 Palestinians were expelled from what became I srael, and the Z ionists destroyed over 500 Palestinian villages. This began the Palestinian refugee crisis

The Zionists massacred Arabs, and these attacks spread terror. Before May 1948, at least 300 000 Palestinians fled from the new Israel. The most famous massacre was in April 1948, in the Palestinian village of D eir Yassin. Begin and Yitzhak Shamir (another future Israeli prime minister) led militias who massacred up to 120 Palestinians. Palestinians fled fearing for their lives.

Z ionism aimed for a Jewish majority in Palestine and as Jews were a minority it embarked on ethnic cleansing.

A fter this, the Z ionists used the threat of 'D eir Y assin' to frighten Palestinians into fleeing, as happened in the cities of H aifa and Jaffa

When the Arab armies of Egypt, Syria, Iraq and Jordan attacked Israel in May 1948, they were disorganised and had less troops and worse weaponry than the Z ionists. In the end, Jordan annexed the West Bank while G aza came under Egyptian control until 1967.

The Z ionists declared I srael an independent state on 15 May 1948. They took 77% of Palestinian land, much more than allowed by the UN, including most of the good farming land. The Palestinians called these events' al N akba' (the catastrophe) as 750 000 Palestinians fled their homes

Although UN Resolution 194 in 1948 recognised the right of Palestinian refugees to return to their land and homes, I srael has never allowed this.

Since 1948, Israel has uprooted about 75% of Palestinian people from their land, making it the largest refugee case in the world. Most refugees live within 160kms of their homes and land, but cannot return.

Solidarity with the Palestinian people must include serious efforts to address the refugee question. International law requires the return of refugees or a 'just settlement' with adequate compensation. Clearly, I srael opposes the return of refugees as this would upset the Z ionist goal of a Jewish majority state.

ISRAEL AGENT OF IMPERIALISM

N o matter how determined the Z ionists were they could not have succeeded without help from Western powers like Britain and the U S. W ithout their help, small I srael, with a population of only 7.1 million, would not have become the dominant military power in the region since 1948.

WithoutWestern support, Israel could not have invaded Lebanon repeatedly. It would not have killed tens of thousands of Lebanese and Palestinian mostly refugees and displaced hundreds of thousands of people.

W ithout the West, I srael could not threaten I ran because of its alleged



nuclear weapons programme. W hile Iran is subject to international pressure because it has nuclear technology, the West has allowed Israel to stockpile about 200 nuclear weapons.

Without Western support, I srael could not ignore annual UN resolutions demanding its withdrawal from Palestinian territories I srael would not be able to reject the UN resolution for Palestinian refugees to return to their homes or be compensated. It would also not be able to keep illegally expanding Jewish' settlements' into Palestinian territory.

Without the West, I srael could not have built the 'separation wall' which makes valuable parts of the West Bank part of I srael. And it would not have a system of I sraeli-only paved roads with separate dirt roads for Palestinians and countless military checkpoints, which make it impossible for Palestinians to move freely within their homeland.

WithoutWestern support, I srael could not have carved up theWest Bank into Bantustan-like ghettoes. N or could I srael turn G aza into an open-air prison, by sealing its borders, controlling its airspace and

patrolling its seashores.

Without the West, I srael could not have bombed G aza into rubble, while imposing a blockade that prevents Palestinians from getting medicine, fuel, food and other supplies.

As long as Western governments support I srael, it will have little trouble distributing the water supply unfairly I sraelis consume five times per head more water a day than Palestinians in the occupied territories T he situation is worse in G aza where Palestinians face the health risk of polluted water partly because I sraeli bombs destroyed sewage facilities T he blockade of G aza has made it difficult to get chlorine and equipment for water treatment.

WithoutWestern support, I srael could not refuse to negotiate with H amas, the democratically elected representatives of the Palestinian people in the occupied territories since January 2006.

Without the West, I srael could not commit war crimes and violate international laws against torture, kidnapping, arbitrary arrest and detention, assassinations, demolition of houses, restrictions on freedom of movement, bombing of civilian areas, collective punishment of civilians, use of banned weapons, and the use of Palestinians as human shields.

WithoutWestern support, I srael could not act like an innocent victim of terrorist fundamentalist A rabs and Muslims

If the Western powers wished it, they could make it difficult for I srael to be a key player in the arms and diamond trade in A frican countries like the D RC today which has fuelled conflicts leading to millions of deaths in recent years

I srael plays an important role for the West, particularly for the U S. Z ionism was born in the West and its founder, T heodore H erzl, openly supported E uropean imperialists against'backward' non European peoples Their thinking hasn't changed.

The Western powers supported Israel because it was a safeguard against' communism' in the Middle E ast With the fall of C ommunist regimes in 1990, this support has continued because the West sees Israel as important in their fight against A rab-Muslim international terrorism.

Imperialism has a clever way of blaming the problems it causes on its victims - in this case, the Palestinians.

US NEO-IMPERIALISM

The Second World War weakened the European powers and the US emerged as the strongest economic and military power in the world after 1945. Europe kept its dominance in A frica, but in the Middle East, the US aimed to play the dominant role.

The Middle E ast was important to the US because of oil. C ontrol of oil is key as it is central to every aspect of production and life in the modern world. For the US to stay the main capitalist power, it has to keep its power in the Middle E ast where the world's greatest oil reserves lie.

D uring the C old War, two global 'superpowers', the US and the Soviet U nion existed. The U S was determined that the A rab regimes did not fall under the Soviet sphere of influence. The U S also did not want A rab countries to be truly independent as this may lead them to nationalise their oil industries.

So, after European colonialism ended, the US maintained its economic and military power over previously colonised peoples Instead of direct colonial rule, indigenous elites came to power in what is known as' neo-colonialism' and the US rewarded these mostly undemocratic A rab regimes

The US labels pro-Western, A rab regimes 'moderate' and A rab states that pose a challenge to US power 'extremist'. It does not matter to US policy-makers whether the A rab regimes are democratic or not, as long as they are subordinate to the US.

Between 1956 and 1970 the Egyptian president Abdel N asser, an anti-imperialist, inspired pan A rab nationalist revolts in Libya, I raq, Y emen, and Algeria with Saudi A rabia likely to follow N asser was a clear threat to U S and W estern dominance in the region because he was trying to bring a form of nationalist capitalism that would weaken the power of U S and W estern oil



Egyptian prime minister Nasser cheered in Cairo after nationalising the Suez Canal and announcing the formation of the Suez Canal Company in August 1956.

companies H e was also to most people of the Middle E ast a symbol of T hird World independence and progress

N asser angered Western capitalists by building friendly relations with communist regimes, including C hina 0 n 26 July 1956, he made them even angrier by nationalising the Suez C anal. Britain, F rance and I srael attacked Egypt on 29 0 ctober the same year. F rom a military standpoint, the West's operation was a success, as I srael occupied the Egyptian Sinai, followed by British and F rench forces But politically, it was a temporary victory for A rab nationalism.

The US did not wish Britain and France to become dominant in the region again and after it applied pressure through the UN, France and Britain withdrew from Egypt This 'Suez Crisis' demonstrated to I srael that the US was dominant in the region.

W hile N asser's influence grew and panA rab nationalism took hold in the Middle East, the US was fighting a costly war in Vietnam and anti-war resistance grew at home. In these circumstances, it was difficult for it to directly attack N asser. I srael thus played a crucial role in the Middle E ast by crushing the panA rab movement.

This marked the beginning of an alliance between the US and Israel. After 1967, this relationship became even stronger. Israel is now very dependent on the US and also acts as an off-shore military base for the US in the Middle East.

Chandra Kumar was a lecturer at Rhodes University and a volunteer researcher at Workers World Media Production in 2008. This series of articles is a shortened version of a booklet titled 'Israel and the Palestinian Struggle for National SelfRule' published by WWMP in 2009.